# Independence of points on elliptic curves coming from modular curves

Gregorio Baldi

XXI Congresso dell'unione Matematica Italiana, Sezione di Teoria dei Numeri

Pavia, 06/09/2019

G. Baldi

Independence of points

06/09/2019 1 / 17

### • $X/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ a modular curve $X_0(N)$ (for some N > 3);

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- $X/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  a modular curve  $X_0(N)$  (for some N > 3);
- $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  a non-cuspidal point  $\rightsquigarrow (E_x, \Psi_x)$ ;

∃ >

- $X/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  a modular curve  $X_0(N)$  (for some N > 3);
- $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  a non-cuspidal point  $\rightsquigarrow (E_x, \Psi_x)$ ;
- $E/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  an elliptic curve;

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- $X/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  a modular curve  $X_0(N)$  (for some N > 3);
- $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  a non-cuspidal point  $\rightsquigarrow (E_x, \Psi_x)$ ;
- $E/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  an elliptic curve;
- a (non-constant)  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -morphism

 $\phi:X\to E.$ 

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a (modular) elliptic curve

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a (modular) elliptic curve If  $L(E, 1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow |E(\mathbb{Q})| < \infty$ ;

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a (modular) elliptic curve

- $If L(E,1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow |E(\mathbb{Q})| < \infty;$
- **2** If  $(L(E, 1) = 0 \text{ and}) L'(E, 1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow E/\mathbb{Q}$  has algebraic rank one and there is an efficient method for calculating  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a (modular) elliptic curve

- $If L(E,1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow |E(\mathbb{Q})| < \infty;$
- **2** If  $(L(E, 1) = 0 \text{ and}) L'(E, 1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow E/\mathbb{Q}$  has algebraic rank one and there is an efficient method for calculating  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ .

In both cases the Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite.

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a (modular) elliptic curve

- $If L(E,1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow |E(\mathbb{Q})| < \infty;$
- **2** If  $(L(E, 1) = 0 \text{ and}) L'(E, 1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow E/\mathbb{Q}$  has algebraic rank one and there is an efficient method for calculating  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ .

In both cases the Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is finite.

The crux in (2) is to construct a non-torsion point in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . This is done constructing (special) points on X: it is easier to construct points on a moduli space such as X. Especially CM points...

Let K be a quadratic imaginary field with an ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of norm N.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三)

Let K be a quadratic imaginary field with an ideal  $\mathfrak n$  of norm N. Consider

$$\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to X, [\mathfrak{a}] \mapsto P_{\mathfrak{a}} := [\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{n}^{-1}\mathfrak{a}]$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Let K be a quadratic imaginary field with an ideal  $\mathfrak n$  of norm N. Consider

$$\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to X, [\mathfrak{a}] \mapsto P_{\mathfrak{a}} := [\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{n}^{-1}\mathfrak{a}]$$

Summing these points in E, via  $\phi:X\to E,$  we obtain a point  $P_K\in E(K).$ 

Let K be a quadratic imaginary field with an ideal  $\mathfrak n$  of norm N. Consider

$$\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to X, [\mathfrak{a}] \mapsto P_{\mathfrak{a}} := [\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{n}^{-1}\mathfrak{a}]$$

Summing these points in E, via  $\phi: X \to E$ , we obtain a point  $P_K \in E(K)$ . If  $L'(E/K, 1) \neq 0$ ,  $P_K$  generates a finite-index subgroup of E(K) whose index is related to the cardinality of the Sha.

Let K be a quadratic imaginary field with an ideal  $\mathfrak n$  of norm N. Consider

$$\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to X, [\mathfrak{a}] \mapsto P_{\mathfrak{a}} := [\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{n}^{-1}\mathfrak{a}]$$

Summing these points in E, via  $\phi: X \to E$ , we obtain a point  $P_K \in E(K)$ . If  $L'(E/K, 1) \neq 0$ ,  $P_K$  generates a finite-index subgroup of E(K) whose index is related to the cardinality of the Sha. To deduce the result over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , one has to find a Dirichlet character  $\epsilon$  such that

$$L(E/K,s) = L(E,s)L(E,\epsilon,s)$$
 and  $L'(E,\epsilon,1) \neq 0 \dots$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Let K be a quadratic imaginary field with an ideal  $\mathfrak n$  of norm N. Consider

$$\operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to X, [\mathfrak{a}] \mapsto P_{\mathfrak{a}} := [\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a} \to \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{n}^{-1}\mathfrak{a}]$$

Summing these points in E, via  $\phi: X \to E$ , we obtain a point  $P_K \in E(K)$ . If  $L'(E/K, 1) \neq 0$ ,  $P_K$  generates a finite-index subgroup of E(K) whose index is related to the cardinality of the Sha. To deduce the result over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , one has to find a Dirichlet character  $\epsilon$  such that

$$L(E/K,s) = L(E,s)L(E,\epsilon,s)$$
 and  $L'(E,\epsilon,1) \neq 0 \dots$ 

### Theorem (Nekovar, Schappacher 1999)

There are only finitely many torsion  $\phi(P_{\mathfrak{a}})$  on any elliptic curve E over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

• We want to find *special* subsets  $\Sigma \subset X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , such that  $\phi(\Sigma) \cap E_{\text{tors}}$  is finite.

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

- We want to find special subsets  $\Sigma \subset X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , such that  $\phi(\Sigma) \cap E_{\text{tors}}$  is finite.
- Can we replace  $E_{\text{tors}}$  by bigger subgroups  $\Gamma \subset E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ ?

-

- We want to find *special* subsets  $\Sigma \subset X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , such that  $\phi(\Sigma) \cap E_{\text{tors}}$  is finite.
- Can we replace  $E_{\text{tors}}$  by bigger subgroups  $\Gamma \subset E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ ?
- Other *natural* choices of  $\Gamma$  are:
  - Finitely generated subgroups;

- We want to find *special* subsets  $\Sigma \subset X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , such that  $\phi(\Sigma) \cap E_{\text{tors}}$  is finite.
- Can we replace  $E_{tors}$  by bigger subgroups  $\Gamma \subset E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ ?
- Other *natural* choices of  $\Gamma$  are:
  - Finitely generated subgroups;
  - **2** Points of Neron-Tate height smaller than some fixed constant  $\epsilon \geq 0$ .

- We want to find *special* subsets  $\Sigma \subset X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , such that  $\phi(\Sigma) \cap E_{\text{tors}}$  is finite.
- Can we replace  $E_{tors}$  by bigger subgroups  $\Gamma \subset E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ ?

Other *natural* choices of  $\Gamma$  are:

Finitely generated subgroups;

**2** Points of Neron-Tate height smaller than some fixed constant  $\epsilon \geq 0$ . All together: Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite rank subgroup of  $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , and for every  $\epsilon \geq 0$  define

$$\Gamma_{\epsilon} := \{ a + b | a \in \Gamma, \hat{h}(b) \le \epsilon \} \le E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

- We want to find *special* subsets  $\Sigma \subset X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , such that  $\phi(\Sigma) \cap E_{\text{tors}}$  is finite.
- Can we replace  $E_{tors}$  by bigger subgroups  $\Gamma \subset E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ ?

Other *natural* choices of  $\Gamma$  are:

Finitely generated subgroups;

**②** Points of Neron-Tate height smaller than some fixed constant  $\epsilon \geq 0$ . All together: Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite rank subgroup of  $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ , and for every  $\epsilon \geq 0$  define

$$\Gamma_{\epsilon} := \{a + b | a \in \Gamma, \hat{h}(b) \le \epsilon\} \le E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

We want to find  $\Sigma$ s such that for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\phi(\Sigma) \cap \Gamma_{\epsilon}$  is finite.

The prototype of such results is the Manin-Mumford conjecture.

The prototype of such results is the Manin-Mumford conjecture.

### Theorem (Raynaud 1983)

Let C be a curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. The curve C, seen in its Jacobian variety J, can only contain a finite number of points that are of finite order in J, unless C = J.

The prototype of such results is the Manin-Mumford conjecture.

### Theorem (Raynaud 1983)

Let C be a curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. The curve C, seen in its Jacobian variety J, can only contain a finite number of points that are of finite order in J, unless C = J.

• Subvarieties of abelian varieties having *large* intersection with the subgroups described before, are *quite special*: Manin-Mumford, Mordell, Bogomolov conjectures.

The prototype of such results is the Manin-Mumford conjecture.

### Theorem (Raynaud 1983)

Let C be a curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. The curve C, seen in its Jacobian variety J, can only contain a finite number of points that are of finite order in J, unless C = J.

- Subvarieties of abelian varieties having *large* intersection with the subgroups described before, are *quite special*: Manin-Mumford, Mordell, Bogomolov conjectures.
- Subvarieties of Shimura varieties having *large* intersection with  $\Sigma$  are *quite special*, whenever  $\Sigma$  consists of CM points or an isogeny class.

#### Conjecture

Let S be a Shimura variety with  $\Sigma \subset S$  be either an isogeny class or the set of CM points, A an abelian variety and  $\Gamma \subset A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  a finite rank subgroup. An irreducible subvariety  $V \subset S \times A$  containing a dense set of points lying in  $\Sigma \times \Gamma_{\epsilon}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , is weakly special.

#### Conjecture

Let S be a Shimura variety with  $\Sigma \subset S$  be either an isogeny class or the set of CM points, A an abelian variety and  $\Gamma \subset A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  a finite rank subgroup. An irreducible subvariety  $V \subset S \times A$  containing a dense set of points lying in  $\Sigma \times \Gamma_{\epsilon}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , is weakly special.

By weakly special we mean an irreducible algebraic subvariety of  $S \times A$  that can be written as a product  $S' \times A'$ , where S' is such that its smooth locus is totally geodesic in S and A' is a translate of an algebraic subgroup of A.

・ロト ・ 一下 ・ ・ 三 ト ・ 三

#### Conjecture

Let S be a Shimura variety with  $\Sigma \subset S$  be either an isogeny class or the set of CM points, A an abelian variety and  $\Gamma \subset A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  a finite rank subgroup. An irreducible subvariety  $V \subset S \times A$  containing a dense set of points lying in  $\Sigma \times \Gamma_{\epsilon}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , is weakly special.

By weakly special we mean an irreducible algebraic subvariety of  $S \times A$  that can be written as a product  $S' \times A'$ , where S' is such that its smooth locus is totally geodesic in S and A' is a translate of an algebraic subgroup of A.

#### Remark

There is a more general conjecture about unlikely intersection, the *Zilber-Pink conjecture*, for mixed Shimura varieties that indeed implies the above one when  $\epsilon = 0$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Recall that Heegner points on elliptic curves are particular points coming from X(CM), i.e. they correspond to elliptic curves with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_K$  for some quadratic imaginary field K (satisfying the Heegner hypothesis).

Recall that Heegner points on elliptic curves are particular points coming from X(CM), i.e. they correspond to elliptic curves with CM by  $\mathcal{O}_K$  for some quadratic imaginary field K (satisfying the Heegner hypothesis).

### Theorem (Buium-Poonen, 2007)

For some  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $\phi(X(CM)) \cap \Gamma_{\epsilon}$  is finite.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Let  $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  be a non-cuspidal point corresponding to a pair  $(E_x, \Psi_x)$ . By isogeny class  $\Sigma_x$  we mean the subset of  $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  corresponding to elliptic curves admitting an isogeny to  $E_x$  (possibly without respecting the extra structure  $\Psi_x$ ).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Let  $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  be a non-cuspidal point corresponding to a pair  $(E_x, \Psi_x)$ . By isogeny class  $\Sigma_x$  we mean the subset of  $X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  corresponding to elliptic curves admitting an isogeny to  $E_x$  (possibly without respecting the extra structure  $\Psi_x$ ).

### Theorem (G.B.)

Let  $E/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  be an elliptic curve and  $\Gamma \subset E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  a finite rank subgroup. Let  $\phi: X \to E$  be a non-constant morphism defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . For some  $\epsilon > 0$ , the image of an isogeny class  $\Sigma_x \subset X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  intersects  $\Gamma_{\epsilon}$  in only finitely many points.

 O-minimality, via the *Pila-Wilkie counting theorem*, is a powerful tool often used to (re)prove results of this kind. Indeed it can be used to prove Manin-Mumford, André-Oort, and many instances of the Zilber-Pink conjecture;

# Remarks about O-minimality

- O-minimality, via the *Pila-Wilkie counting theorem*, is a powerful tool often used to (re)prove results of this kind. Indeed it can be used to prove Manin-Mumford, André-Oort, and many instances of the Zilber-Pink conjecture;
- Independently Gabriel Dill proved the version with  $\epsilon = 0$  of the theorem, using O-minimality and the Pila-Zannier strategy;

- O-minimality, via the *Pila-Wilkie counting theorem*, is a powerful tool often used to (re)prove results of this kind. Indeed it can be used to prove Manin-Mumford, André-Oort, and many instances of the Zilber-Pink conjecture;
- Independently Gabriel Dill proved the version with  $\epsilon = 0$  of the theorem, using O-minimality and the Pila-Zannier strategy;
- Recently Pila and Tsimermann have also obtained a generalization of the  $\epsilon = 0$  part of the theorem;

- O-minimality, via the *Pila-Wilkie counting theorem*, is a powerful tool often used to (re)prove results of this kind. Indeed it can be used to prove Manin-Mumford, André-Oort, and many instances of the Zilber-Pink conjecture;
- Independently Gabriel Dill proved the version with  $\epsilon = 0$  of the theorem, using O-minimality and the Pila-Zannier strategy;
- Recently Pila and Tsimermann have also obtained a generalization of the  $\epsilon = 0$  part of the theorem;
- It seems that the Bogomolov part of the theorem ( $\epsilon > 0$ ) can not be proven using such strategy. Indeed our proof relays on equidistribution results, as in the proof of the Bogomolov conjecture (Ullmo, Zhang 1990)...

• Hecke orbits are equidistributed with respect to the hyperbolic measure on *X*;

A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

- Hecke orbits are equidistributed with respect to the hyperbolic measure on *X*;
- The corresponding Galois orbits on the abelian variety side equidistribute to the Haar measure on  $E(\mathbb{C})$ ;

- Hecke orbits are equidistributed with respect to the hyperbolic measure on *X*;
- The corresponding Galois orbits on the abelian variety side equidistribute to the Haar measure on  $E(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- The two measures are "incomparable".

• On  $X/\mathbb{C}$  we have the hyperbolic measure  $\mu_X$ : it is the measure whose pullback to  $\mathbb{H}$  equals a multiple of the hyperbolic measure  $y^{-2}dxdy$ ;

A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

- On X/C we have the hyperbolic measure μ<sub>X</sub>: it is the measure whose pullback to ℍ equals a multiple of the hyperbolic measure y<sup>-2</sup>dxdy;
- On  $E/\mathbb{C}$  we have the Haar measure  $\mu_E$ , since  $E(\mathbb{C})$  is a locally compact topological group;

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- On X/C we have the hyperbolic measure μ<sub>X</sub>: it is the measure whose pullback to H equals a multiple of the hyperbolic measure y<sup>-2</sup>dxdy;
- On  $E/\mathbb{C}$  we have the Haar measure  $\mu_E$ , since  $E(\mathbb{C})$  is a locally compact topological group;

For example for every continuous real function f over  ${\boldsymbol E}$  we have

$$\int_E f(x)d\mu_E(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{p \in E[n]} f(p).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- On X/C we have the hyperbolic measure μ<sub>X</sub>: it is the measure whose pullback to H equals a multiple of the hyperbolic measure y<sup>-2</sup>dxdy;
- On  $E/\mathbb{C}$  we have the Haar measure  $\mu_E$ , since  $E(\mathbb{C})$  is a locally compact topological group;

For example for every continuous real function f over  ${\boldsymbol E}$  we have

$$\int_E f(x)d\mu_E(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{p \in E[n]} f(p).$$

• Given a point p we denote by  $\delta_p$  the Dirac measure supported on p.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

• We may assume  $X, E, \phi, x$  are all defined over a number field K and that  $\Gamma$  is contained in the division hull of E(K);

∃ >

- We may assume  $X, E, \phi, x$  are all defined over a number field K and that  $\Gamma$  is contained in the division hull of E(K);
- Thanks to the result of Buium-Poonen, we may suppose that x corresponds to a non-CM elliptic curve  $E_x$ .

- We may assume  $X, E, \phi, x$  are all defined over a number field K and that  $\Gamma$  is contained in the division hull of E(K);
- Thanks to the result of Buium-Poonen, we may suppose that x corresponds to a non-CM elliptic curve  $E_x$ .

Heading for a contradiction we may assume that that, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $\Sigma_x \times \Gamma_{\epsilon}$  is dense in the graph of  $\phi$ . Therefore we may find a generic infinite sequence of points  $(x_n, a_n)_n$  such that  $x_n \in \Sigma_x$ ,  $\phi(x_n) = a_n$  and  $a_n \in \Gamma_{\epsilon_i}$  where  $\epsilon_i \to 0$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### Sequence of measures on $\boldsymbol{X}$

Consider the sequence of measures on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ 

$$\Delta(x_n) := \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).x_n|} \sum_{p \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).x_n} \delta_p.$$

06/09/2019 14 / 17

(日) (周) (日) (日) (日)

# Sequence of measures on $\boldsymbol{X}$

Consider the sequence of measures on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ 

$$\Delta(x_n) := \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).x_n|} \sum_{p \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).x_n} \delta_p.$$

Since x is non-CM, Serre's open image implies that x is a Galois generic point, i.e. the image of the Galois representation attached to the Tate-module of  $E_x$  is open in  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$ .

Consider the sequence of measures on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ 

$$\Delta(x_n) := \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).x_n|} \sum_{p \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).x_n} \delta_p.$$

Since x is non-CM, Serre's open image implies that x is a *Galois generic* point, i.e. the image of the Galois representation attached to the Tate-module of  $E_x$  is open in  $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$ .

In particular we can translate a result of Clozel-Ullmo about the equidistribution of Hecke points on Shimura varieties, in a equidistribution result about the Galois conjugates of x:

$$\Delta(x_n) \to \mu_X$$
, as  $n \to +\infty$ .

The degree of the field of definitions of  $x_n$  and  $a_n$  over K has to go to infinity with n.

∃ >

A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

The degree of the field of definitions of  $x_n$  and  $a_n$  over K has to go to infinity with n. Indeed

 $[K(x_n):K] \le \deg(\phi)[K(a_n):K],$ 

and  $[K(x_n):K]$  tends to infinity since the  $x_n$ s lie in an infinite isogeny class and the boundedness of such degree would prevent the equidistribution of the  $\Delta(x_n)$ s.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The degree of the field of definitions of  $x_n$  and  $a_n$  over K has to go to infinity with n. Indeed

 $[K(x_n):K] \le \deg(\phi)[K(a_n):K],$ 

and  $[K(x_n):K]$  tends to infinity since the  $x_n$ s lie in an infinite isogeny class and the boundedness of such degree would prevent the equidistribution of the  $\Delta(x_n)$ s.

The last property can be also seen using the Masser-Wüstholz Isogeny Theorem.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

**1**  $\Delta(x_n)$  weakly converges to  $\mu_X$ ;

∃ >

A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

- $\Delta(x_n)$  weakly converges to  $\mu_X$ ;
- **2** Consider the following measures on  $E(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\Delta(a_n) := \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).a_n|} \sum_{q \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).a_n} \delta_q$$

∃ >

A B A B A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
B
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

- **1**  $\Delta(x_n)$  weakly converges to  $\mu_X$ ;
- **2** Consider the following measures on  $E(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\Delta(a_n) := \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).a_n|} \sum_{q \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).a_n} \delta_q$$

It follows that  $\Delta(a_n)$  weakly converge to  $\phi_*(\mu_X)$ .

- **1**  $\Delta(x_n)$  weakly converges to  $\mu_X$ ;
- **2** Consider the following measures on  $E(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\Delta(a_n) := \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).a_n|} \sum_{q \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).a_n} \delta_q$$

It follows that  $\Delta(a_n)$  weakly converge to  $\phi_*(\mu_X)$ .

Since each  $a_n$  lies in a  $\Gamma_{\epsilon_i}$ , where  $\epsilon_i \to 0$ , Zhang proved that  $\Delta(a_n)$  weakly converges to  $\mu_E$ .

- $\Delta(x_n)$  weakly converges to  $\mu_X$ ;
- **2** Consider the following measures on  $E(\mathbb{C})$ :

$$\Delta(a_n) := \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).a_n|} \sum_{q \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K).a_n} \delta_q$$

It follows that  $\Delta(a_n)$  weakly converge to  $\phi_*(\mu_X)$ .

Since each  $a_n$  lies in a  $\Gamma_{\epsilon_i}$ , where  $\epsilon_i \to 0$ , Zhang proved that  $\Delta(a_n)$  weakly converges to  $\mu_E$ .

But this violates the condition that the two measures are incomparable.

# THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

G. Baldi

Independence of points

06/09/2019 17 / 17

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >